Case 5:09-cv-02889-JW Document 102 Filed 09/23/10 Page 1 of 3

1	Eric H. Gibbs (State Bar No. 178658)	of DISTRI
2	ehg@girardgibbs.com Dylan Hughes (State Bar No. 209113)	CATES DISTRICT CO
3	dsh@girardgibbs.com	
4	Geoffrey A. Munroe (State Bar No. 228590) gam@girardgibbs.com	IT IS SO ORDERED
5	GIRARD GIBBS LLP 601 California Street, 14th Floor	
6	San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 981-4800	Judge James Ware
7	Facsimile: (415) 981-4846	Juage Ju
8	Interim Class Counsel	THE STATE OF
9		DISTRICT OF 9/23/2010
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12		
13	In re Intel Laptop Battery Litigation	Case No. 09-CV-02889-JW (PVT)
14		STIPULATION AND PROPOSITOR
15		ORDER ADJUSTING BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
2324		
25		
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$		
27		
28		

26

24

WHEREAS, the participated in an August mediation with Judge Ronald M. Sabraw (Ret.), and have for the past several weeks continued to exchange settlement proposals;

WHEREAS, the parties are optimistic that they will be able to reach a settlement that would resolve this case, and wish to devote the next 30 days toward that effort rather than toward briefing of class certification and summary judgment; and

WHEREAS, the parties recognize that their request for a 30-day extension of the current briefing and hearing schedule is being presented on the day that Plaintiffs' motion for class certification is due to be filed, but only today reached agreement that it would be beneficial to their settlement efforts and preferable to the parties for Plaintiffs to hold off on filing their motion so that the parties can continue their settlement negotiations,

NOW THEREFORE, the parties stipulate that, subject to the Court's approval, that the briefing and hearing schedule set forth in the Court's 9/14/10 stipulated order [Doc. 100] be modified as follows:

- (1) Plaintiffs to file (or lodger in accordance with Local Rule 79-5) and serve their motion for class certification on or before October 22, 2010;
- (2) Defendants to file (or lodge in accordance with Local Rule 79-5) and serve their oppositions to Plaintiffs' motion for class certification on or before November 19, 2010;
- (3) Plaintiffs to file (or lodge in accordance with Local Rule 79-5) and serve their opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment on or before November 19, 2010;
- (4) Plaintiffs to file (or lodge in accordance with Local Rule 79-5) and serve their reply in further support of their motion for class certification on or before **December 2, 2010**;
- (5) Defendants to file (or lodge in accordance with Local Rule 79-5) and serve their reply in further support of Defendants' motion for summary judgment on or before **December 2, 2010**;
- (6) The hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification and Defendants' motion for summary judgment shall take place on December 20, 2010, at 9:00 a.m.

Case 5:09-cv-02889-JW Document 102 Filed 09/23/10 Page 3 of 3

1	(7) The parties will promptly advise the Court if they reach agreement on a final settlement		
2	on or before October 22, 2010, and in such an event, will request that the Court vacate the above dates		
3	and set a date for hearing preliminary approval of the proposed settlement.		
4			
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED		
6			
7	DATED: September 22, 2010 By: /s/ Eric H. Gibbs		
8	GIRARD GIBBS LLP Interim Class Counsel		
9			
10	DATED: September 22, 2010 By: /s/ Joseph E. Mais		
11	PERKINS COIE LLP Attorney for Defendant Intel Corporation		
12			
13	DATED: September 22, 2010 By: /s/ Barry Lee Katzman		
14	NARANCIC & KATZMAN, PC Attorney for Defendant Business Applications		
15	Performance Corporation		
16			
17	<u>ORDER</u>		
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED: AS MODIFIED.		
19			
20	DATE: September 23, 2010		
21	AON. JAMES WARE		
22	United States District Judge		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			